

Table 2**Performance of Banks in States with Different Rates of Economic Growth**

State	State real GDP growth rate (%)	No. of thriving banks	No. of failed banks	Nonfailing CAMELS 4-5 banks	Total No. of banks	Proportion of thriving banks (%)
Louisiana	4.6	50	2	10	124	40.32
Oklahoma	10.2	65	4	21	235	27.66
Texas	18.7	124	7	49	552	22.46
Massachusetts	6.9	5	0	2	24	20.83
Iowa	5.7	66	1	18	333	19.82
Nebraska	38.9	38	2	16	207	18.36
North Dakota	29.8	14	0	7	88	15.91
South Dakota	9.2	12	3	5	78	15.38
Kansas	8.1	44	7	34	304	14.47
West Virginia	7.5	8	0	N/R	58	13.79
Missouri	-0.1	41	11	42	303	13.53
New Mexico	4.0	6	2	6	45	13.33
Kentucky	1.8	19	0	20	178	10.67
Arkansas	2.0	13	2	19	122	10.66
Illinois	2.5	49	43	80	498	9.84
Minnesota	3.0	36	17	74	366	9.84
New York	5.4	11	4	11	118	9.32
South Carolina	1.0	5	5	13	59	8.47
Pennsylvania	3.8	11	2	13	130	8.46
Ohio	-5.7	11	2	9	148	7.43
Wisconsin	1.4	15	5	40	237	6.33
Alabama	-0.4	8	4	23	134	5.97
Virginia	5.4	6	2	16	101	5.94
Wyoming	20.2	2	1	4	34	5.88
Colorado	7.8	5	8	31	99	5.05
Mississippi	3.6	3	2	7	84	3.57
Tennessee	4.3	6	0	37	173	3.47
Michigan	-10.2	4	9	33	120	3.33

NOTE: To avoid disclosing confidential information, statistics are not reported (N/R) for states with fewer than 10 community banks, fewer than two banks with CAMELS ratings of 4 or 5, or a thriving bank percentage less than 3.3. The state real GDP growth rates are from 2005 to 2011. The numbers of thriving banks, failed banks, and nonfailing banks with CAMELS ratings of 4 or 5 are from the main sample years of 2006 through 2011. The total number of banks and the percentage of thriving banks are from year-end 2011.